

Heteroskedasticity Exercise: Spring 2010

Exercise (Verbeek, 2008) The dataset AIRQ contains observations for 30 standard metropolitan statistical areas for California in 1972. The following variables are included:

- *Airq* = indicator of air quality—lower is better
 - *Vala* = value added of companies (\$1000)
 - *Rain* = amount of rain in inches
 - *Coas* = 1 if on the coast, 0 otherwise
 - *Dens* = population density (per square mile)
 - *Medi* = average income per head (\$1)
- a) Estimate a linear regression that explains *airq* from the other variables.
 - b) Test the null hypothesis that average income does not affect air quality. Test the joint hypothesis that none of the variables has an effect on air quality.
 - c) Test whether the variance of the error term is different for coastal and noncoastal areas. Based on this, comment on the validity of the tests in the first two parts.
 - d) Perform a Breusch-Pagan test for heteroskedasticity related to all five variables. Does this make you doubt the presence of heteroskedasticity?
 - e) Perform White's test. Comment on the appropriateness of the White test in light of the number of observations and the degrees of freedom of the test.
 - f) Assume you have multiplicative heteroskedasticity related to *coas* and *medi*. Estimate the model using NLLS. Test the null hypothesis that the coefficients on these two variables are zero.
 - g) Using the estimates of the multiplicative heteroskedastic model, estimate the linear regression using FGLS.
 - h) Comment on the appropriateness of R-square in the FGLS regression.