

# Homework

Econ 5243

November 7, 2005

## Problems

Consider the following model of wages:

$$\ln(\text{wage}_i) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \text{educ}_i + \beta_3 \text{exper}_i + \beta_4 \text{expersq}_i + \beta_5 \text{female}_i + u_i \quad (1)$$

where

wage\_i = wages of the ith individual  
educ\_i = years of schooling for individual i  
exper\_i = years of experience for individual i  
expersq\_i = years of experience squared for individual i  
female\_i = 1 if female, 0 otherwise  
lwage\_i = ln(wage)

also included in the data set is:  
married\_i = 1 if married, 0 otherwise  
nonwhite\_1 = 1 if nonwhite

1. Using the wages1.csv data available by link from my website estimate the model using least squares.
  - (a) Is the regression significant at the 5% level?
  - (b) What is  $R^2$ ?
  - (c) What does inclusion of *exper* and *expersq* accomplish? Do these have the anticipated signs?
  - (d) What effect does an additional year of schooling have on wages?

- (e) Using equation (1), perform the RESET test at the 5% level. Is there evidence that the model is misspecified? Create a new variable,  $educsq$  as the square of  $educ$ . Augment the model by including this variable and repeat the RESET test. Does this improve things?
- (f) Jethro Bodean of Beverly Hill, California has a 6<sup>th</sup> grade education. What is the estimated impact of this on his log wage? Using the model that includes  $educsq$ , is there any incentive for Jethro to finish the 7<sup>th</sup> grade?
- (g) What is the estimated return to another year of schooling for someone who has finished high school ( $educ = 12^{th}$ ) and college ( $educ = 16^{th}$ ). Find the estimated standard error of this quantity.
- (h) Is there evidence of gender discrimination in the model?
- (i) Test the following joint hypothesis as the 5% level.

$$H_0 : 5\beta_2 = \beta_3; \beta_5 = 0 \quad H_a : \text{not } H_0: \quad (2)$$

- (j) Using IML, confirm that for the joint hypothesis above the the test statistics obtained using the statistics  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$  are equivalent. Recall,  $\lambda_1 = (R\hat{\beta} - r)^T [R(X^T X)^{-1} R^T]^{-1} (R\hat{\beta} - r) / J\hat{\sigma}^2$  and  $\lambda_2 = (SSE_r - SSE_u) / J\hat{\sigma}^2$ .