

# Maximum Likelihood Estimation

- A Fundamental principle of Estimation (as are OLS, GLS, IV, GMM estimation)
- Can be applied to a wide variety of Estimation problems (NOT just linear models)
- has excellent asymptotic properties when certain conditions hold
- Biggest drawback: Requires stronger distributional assumptions than other techniques.

Models estimated by ML must be fully specified.

Suppose we have a sample

$$\underline{Y}_n = (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)$$

The distribution of the sample is its jpdf. and in a fully specified model it has a known mathematical form

$$f(\underline{y} | \underline{\theta}) \quad \text{for } \underline{\theta} \in \Omega$$

$\underline{\theta}$  ~~is~~ consists of  $k$  unknown parameters,  $\Omega$  is the set of all possible values of  $\underline{\theta}$  (~~sample~~ parameter space). The specification of

$f(\underline{y} | \underline{\theta})$  and  $\Omega$  represent a

statistical model.

The basic goal of stat analysis is to collect samples,  $\underline{Y}_n$ , that come from  $f(\cdot)$  and use them to learn about  $\underline{\theta}$ .

## ML estimation

The basic principle is this: Given a well-defined, fully specified statistical model, what values of  $\theta$  maximize the probability that my sample is being generated by the chosen  $f(y|\theta)$ ?

So, we know  $f(y|\theta)_{\hat{\theta}}$ , but  $\theta$  is unknown.  
and have a sample that is generated by it,

The likelihood function is algebraically identical to the j.p.d.f. of  $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$ .  
It is denoted  $L(\theta|y)$  where  $\theta$  are the unknowns and  $y$  the <sup>sample</sup> realized values of

Maximize  $L(\cdot)$  w/ respect to  $\theta$  given  $y$ .

Steps

1. Write out j.p.d.f. of your model.
2. Call it the likelihood function
3. Maximize it with respect to  $\theta$ .

This yields  $\hat{\theta}(y)$ , the MLE of  $\theta$ .

Since it depends on sample (which is random) it is a R.V. and has a p.d.f of its own.

Random Sampling of  $y_t$ 's.

In many cases, the obs in the sample are thought to be statistically independent of one another. This makes the j.p.d.f. much easier to specify since it will be = to product of  $n$  marginal distributions

Let  $f(y_t | \theta)$  denote the p.d.f of a typical obs,  $y_t$ . Then the j.p.d.f of the entire sample is

$$f(\underline{y} | \theta) = \prod_{t=1}^n f(y_t | \theta)$$

As a product, this # can be ~~very large~~ small. So, it is customary to work with its natural log

~~$l(\underline{y} | \theta) = \ln$~~

$$l(\theta|y) = \ln[f(y|\theta)] = \sum_{t=1}^T l_t(\theta|y)$$

where  $l_t(\cdot)$  is the contribution to the log likelihood function made by obs.  $t$ . (which is  $= \ln[f(y_t|\theta)]$ )

The subscripts are added to allow for the density to change from obs. to obs.

Note: The natural log is a monotonic transformation  $\Rightarrow$  The value of  $\hat{\theta}$  that maximizes  $l$  will also maximize  $l$ .

Example: Exponential

7

$$f(y_t | \theta) = \theta e^{-\theta y_t} \quad y_t > 0, \theta > 0$$

Useful for dep vars that are  $> 0$   
waiting times, duration, Battery life, etc.

Take a T R.S., Take logs and add em up.

$$\ln[f(y_t | \theta)] = \ln \theta - \theta y_t$$

$$l = \sum_{t=1}^n (\ln \theta - \theta y_t) = n \ln(\theta) - \theta \sum y_t$$

$$\frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta} = \frac{n}{\theta} - \sum y_t$$

$$\frac{n}{\hat{\theta}} = \sum y_t \quad \Rightarrow \quad \hat{\theta} = \frac{n}{\sum y_t}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \theta^2} = -\frac{n}{\hat{\theta}^2} < 0 \quad \therefore \hat{\theta} \text{ is } \underline{\underline{\text{max}}}$$

Eval sec. at MLE and make sure neg (or neg def)

If time dependent

$$f(\underline{y}|\theta) = f(y_1|y_2, \dots)$$

$$y_2 \quad f(y_1, y_2) = f(y_2|y_1) f(y_1)$$

$$f(y_3, y_2, y_1) = f(y_3|y_2, y_1) f(y_2|y_1) f(y_1)$$

AND so on

you can build joint likelihood (P.d.f.) by taking product of conditionals.